

# UPSIDE

Bonus Material

**TABLE 3.1**  
**THE U.S. GENERATIONS DELINEATED**

<b>G.I. Generation</b>	1905–1924	56.6 million births
<b>Silent Generation</b>	1925–1944	52.5 million births
<b>Baby Boomers</b>	1945–1964	78.2 million births
<b>Generation X</b>	1965–1984	69.5 million births
<b>Generation Y</b>	1985–2004	79.5 million births
<b>Generation Z</b>	2005–2024	40.9 million births (as of 2014)

**TABLE 4.1**  
**RACIAL AND ETHNIC POPULATION CHANGE 2000–2014**

<b>RACE OR ETHNICITY</b>	<b>2000 POPULATION</b>	<b>2014 POPULATION</b>	<b>NUMERICAL CHANGE</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE CHANGE</b>
<b>White</b>	211,460,626	233,963,128	22,502,502	10.6%
<b>Non-Hispanic White</b>	194,552,774	197,409,353	2,856,579	1.5%
<b>Black</b>	34,658,190	40,379,066	5,720,876	16.5%
<b>Hispanic</b>	35,305,818	55,279,452	19,973,634	56.5%
<b>Asian</b>	10,242,998	16,686,960	6,443,962	62.9%
<b>All</b>	281,421,906	318,857,056	37,435,150	13.3%

TABLE 4.2

## PROJECTED RACIAL AND ETHNIC POPULATION CHANGE 2014–2050

RACE OR ETHNICITY	2014 POPULATION	2050 PROJECTED POPULATION	NUMERICAL CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>White</b>	233,963,128	280,503,000	46,539,872	19.9%
<b>Non-Hispanic White</b>	197,409,353	188,419,000	-8,990,353	-4.5%
<b>Black</b>	40,379,066	56,007,000	15,627,934	38.7%
<b>Hispanic</b>	55,279,452	105,550,000	50,270,548	90.9%
<b>Asian</b>	16,686,960	34,359,000	17,672,040	105.9%
<b>All</b>	318,857,056	398,328,000	79,470,944	24.9%

**TABLE 4.3**  
**THE U.S. GENERATIONS TODAY\***

<b>G.I. Generation</b>	1905–1924	2.3 million
<b>Silent Generation</b>	1925–1944	28.6 million
<b>Baby Boomers</b>	1945–1964	78.0 million
<b>Generation X</b>	1965–1984	82.9 million
<b>Generation Y</b>	1985–2004	86.6 million
<b>Generation Z</b>	2005–2024	40.9 million

\*OK, so not today, but 2014, which marks, for the most part, Census numbers being used for this chapter.

**TABLE 11.1**  
**REGIONAL RATES OF POPULATION CHANGE 1990–2015<sup>1</sup>**

PLACE	1990 POPULATION	2015 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Northeast</b>	50,809,229	56,283,891	5,474,662	10.7%
<b>South</b>	85,445,930	121,182,847	35,736,317	41.8%
<b>Midwest</b>	59,668,632	67,907,403	8,238,771	13.8%
<b>West</b>	52,786,082	76,044,679	23,258,597	44.0%
<b>United States</b>	248,709,873	321,418,820	72,708,947	29.2%

**TABLE 11.2**  
**REGIONAL GROWTH BY DECADE—1990S VERSUS 2000S**

PLACE	1990S NUMERIC GROWTH	PERCENTAGE CHANGE	2000S NUMERIC GROWTH	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Northeast</b>	2,785,149	5.4%	1,722,862	3.2%
<b>South</b>	14,790,890	17.3%	14,318,924	14.3%
<b>Midwest</b>	4,724,144	7.9%	2,534,225	3.9%
<b>West</b>	10,411,850	19.7%	8,747,621	13.8%
<b>United States</b>	32,712,033	13.2%	27,323,632	9.7%

**TABLE 11.3****REGIONAL RATES OF POPULATION CHANGE 2010–2015**

<b>PLACE</b>	<b>2010 POPULATION</b>	<b>2015 POPULATION</b>	<b>NUMERIC CHANGE</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE CHANGE</b>
<b>Northeast</b>	55,318,348	56,283,891	965,543	1.7%
<b>South</b>	114,562,953	121,182,847	6,619,894	5.8%
<b>Midwest</b>	66,929,897	67,907,403	977,506	1.4%
<b>West</b>	71,946,907	76,044,679	4,097,772	5.7%
<b>United States</b>	308,758,105	321,418,820	12,660,715	4.1%

**TABLE 11.4**  
**COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE 2010–2015**

REGION	NATURAL INCREASE	DOMESTIC MIGRATION	INTERNATIONAL MIGRATION	NET CHANGE IN POPULATION*	2015 POPULATION
<b>Northeast</b>	871,276	-1,247,700	1,399,939	965,543	56,283,891
<b>South</b>	2,741,639	1,860,218	1,944,324	6,619,894	121,182,847
<b>Midwest</b>	1,279,234	-962,626	693,125	977,506	67,907,403
<b>West</b>	2,433,677	350,108	1,297,501	4,097,772	76,044,679
<b>United States</b>	7,325,826	n/a	5,334,889	12,660,715	321,418,820

\*If you take the time to calculate the numbers in this table—for which I would commend you for your interest in “counting”—you will notice that the sums of the natural increases, domestic migration, and international migrations do not necessarily add up to the net changes in population. This is because the U.S. Census Bureau includes a “residual” in this number to account for “changes in the population that cannot be attributed to any specific demographic component.” I toyed with using the “true” net change in population numbers according to calculating the aforementioned sums but decided that for the sake of consistency I should utilize the “official” numbers despite the odd variance and potential confusion that might ensue should a reader count my sums.



**TABLE 11.5**  
**RACIAL AND ETHNIC COMPOSITION OF U.S. POPULATION 2014**

<b>PLACE</b>	<b>2014 POPULATION</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE WHITE</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE HISPANIC</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE BLACK</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE ASIAN</b>
<b>Northeast</b>	56,152,333	73.3%	13.8%	11.9%	6.2%
<b>South</b>	119,771,934	71.3%	16.9%	19.4%	3.1%
<b>Midwest</b>	67,745,108	84.2%	7.5%	10.4%	3.0%
<b>West</b>	75,187,681	69.5%	29.5%	4.6%	9.9%
<b>United States</b>	318,857,056	73.4%	17.3%	12.7%	5.2%

\*Because Hispanic is not a “race,” and not all “races” are accounted for, the percentages in this table are not supposed to add up to 100. The percentages of each of these population groups should be considered individually in relation to the total population.

**TABLE 12.1**  
**COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE 2010–2015**

PLACE	NATURAL INCREASE	DOMESTIC MIGRATION	INTERNATIONAL MIGRATION	NET CHANGE IN POPULATION*	2015 POPULATION
<b>Connecticut</b>	38,144	-104,537	88,195	16,768	3,590,886
<b>Maine</b>	-1,646	-4,143	7,041	967	1,329,328
<b>Massachusetts</b>	97,841	-46,933	202,434	246,605	6,794,422
<b>New Hampshire</b>	9,535	-5,524	10,377	14,142	1,330,608
<b>New Jersey</b>	173,618	-269,194	270,306	166,077	8,958,013
<b>New York</b>	467,883	-653,071	630,763	417,704	19,795,791
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	75,417	-132,073	164,475	99,616	12,802,503
<b>Rhode Island</b>	7,306	-25,743	22,298	3,367	1,056,298
<b>Vermont</b>	3,178	-6,482	4,050	297	626,042
<b>The Region</b>	871,276	-1,247,700	1,399,939	965,543	56,283,891

\*As noted on the Components of Population Change table in Chapter 11, If you take the time to calculate the numbers in the table you will notice that the sums of the natural increases, domestic migration, and international migrations do not necessarily add up to the net changes in population. This is because the U.S. Census Bureau includes a “residual” in this number to account for “changes in the population that cannot be attributed to any specific demographic component.” I toyed with using the “true” net change in population numbers according to calculating the aforementioned sums but decided that for the sake of consistency I should utilize the “official” numbers despite the odd variance and potential confusion that might ensue should a reader count my sums.

TABLE 12.2

## THEORETICAL POPULATION CHANGE ABSENT INTERNATIONAL MIGRATION 2010–2015

STATE	NATURAL INCREASE	DOMESTIC MIGRATION	THEORETICAL NET CHANGE IN POPULATION
<b>Connecticut</b>	38,144	-104,537	-66,393
<b>Maine</b>	-1,646	-4,143	-5,789
<b>Massachusetts</b>	97,841	-46,933	50,908
<b>New Hampshire</b>	9,535	-5,524	4,011
<b>New Jersey</b>	173,618	-269,194	-95,576
<b>New York</b>	467,883	-653,071	-185,188
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	75,417	-132,073	-56,656
<b>Rhode Island</b>	7,306	-25,743	-18,437
<b>Vermont</b>	3,178	-6,482	3,304
<b>The Region</b>	871,276	-1,247,700	-376,424

**TABLE 12.3**  
**RATES OF POPULATION CHANGE 2010–2015**

PLACE	2010 POPULATION	2015 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Massachusetts</b>	6,547,817	6,794,422	246,605	3.7%
<b>New York</b>	19,378,087	19,795,791	417,704	2.1%
<b>New Jersey</b>	8,791,936	8,958,013	166,077	1.9%
<b>New Hampshire</b>	1,316,466	1,330,608	14,142	1.1%
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	12,702,887	12,802,503	99,616	0.78%
<b>Connecticut</b>	3,574,118	3,590,886	16,768	0.47%
<b>Rhode Island</b>	1,052,931	1,056,298	3,367	0.32%
<b>Maine</b>	1,328,361	1,329,328	967	0.07%
<b>Vermont</b>	625,745	626,042	297	0.04%
<b>The Region</b>	55,318,348	56,283,891	965,543	1.7%

**TABLE 12.4**  
**RATES OF POPULATION CHANGE 1990–2015**

PLACE	1990 POPULATION	2015 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Massachusetts</b>	6,016,425	6,794,422	777,997	12.9%
<b>New York</b>	17,990,455	19,795,791	1,805,336	10.0%
<b>New Jersey</b>	7,730,188	8,958,013	1,227,825	15.9%
<b>New Hampshire</b>	1,109,252	1,330,608	221,356	19.9%
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	11,881,643	12,802,503	920,860	7.7%
<b>Connecticut</b>	3,287,116	3,590,886	303,770	9.2%
<b>Rhode Island</b>	1,003,464	1,056,298	52,834	5.2%
<b>Maine</b>	1,227,928	1,329,328	101,400	8.2%
<b>Vermont</b>	562,758	626,042	63,284	11.2%
<b>The Region</b>	50,809,229	56,283,891	5,474,662	10.8%

TABLE 12.5

## RACIAL AND ETHNIC POPULATION CHANGE IN THE NORTHEAST 2000–2014

RACE OR ETHNICITY	2000 POPULATION	2014 POPULATION	NUMERICAL CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>White</b>	41,533,502	41,157,961	-375,541	-0.9%
<b>Non-Hispanic White</b>	39,327,262	37,384,854	-1,942,408	-4.9%
<b>Black</b>	6,099,881	6,682,109	582,228	9.5%
<b>Hispanic</b>	5,254,087	7,729,357	2,475,270	47.1%
<b>Asian</b>	2,119,426	3,498,544	1,379,118	65.1%
<b>All</b>	53,594,378	56,152,333	2,557,955	4.8%

TABLE 12.6

## RACIAL AND ETHNIC COMPOSITION OF U.S. POPULATION 2014

PLACE	2014 POPULATION	PERCENTAGE WHITE	PERCENTAGE HISPANIC	PERCENTAGE BLACK	PERCENTAGE ASIAN
<b>Northeast</b>	56,152,333	73.3%	13.8%	11.9%	6.2%
<b>South</b>	119,771,934	71.3%	16.9%	19.4%	3.1%
<b>Midwest</b>	67,745,108	84.2%	7.5%	10.4%	3.0%
<b>West</b>	75,187,681	69.5%	29.5%	4.6%	9.9%
<b>United States</b>	318,857,056	73.4%	17.3%	12.7%	5.2%

**TABLE 12.7**  
**PROJECTED POPULATION CHANGE 2000 TO 2030—NORTHEAST**

PLACE	2000 POPULATION	PROJECTED 2030 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>New Hampshire</b>	1,235,786	1,646,471	410,685	33.2%
<b>Vermont</b>	608,827	711,867	103,040	16.9%
<b>New Jersey</b>	8,414,350	9,802,440	1,388,090	16.5%
<b>Maine</b>	1,274,923	1,411,097	136,174	10.7%
<b>Massachusetts</b>	6,349,097	7,012,009	662,912	10.4%
<b>Rhode Island</b>	1,048,319	1,152,941	104,622	10.0%
<b>Connecticut</b>	3,405,565	3,688,630	283,065	8.3%
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	12,281,054	12,768,184	487,130	4.0%
<b>New York</b>	18,976,457	19,477,429	500,972	2.6%
<b>The Region</b>	53,594,378	57,671,068	4,076,690	7.6%

**TABLE 12.8**  
**PROJECTED VERSUS ACTUAL POPULATION CHANGE 2000 TO 2015**

PLACE	2000 POPULATION	PROJECTED 2015 POPULATION	ACTUAL 2015 POPULATION	NUMERICAL DIFFERENCE
<b>Connecticut</b>	3,405,565	3,635,414	3,590,886	-44,528
<b>Maine</b>	1,274,923	1,388,878	1,329,328	-59,550
<b>Massachusetts</b>	6,349,097	6,758,580	6,794,422	35,842
<b>New Hampshire</b>	1,235,786	1,456,679	1,330,608	-126,071
<b>New Jersey</b>	8,414,350	9,255,769	8,958,013	-297,756
<b>New York</b>	18,976,457	19,546,699	19,795,791	249,092
<b>Pennsylvania</b>	12,281,054	12,710,938	12,802,503	91,565
<b>Rhode Island</b>	1,048,319	1,139,543	1,056,298	-83,245
<b>Vermont</b>	608,827	673,169	626,042	-47,127
<b>The Region</b>	53,594,378	56,565,669	56,283,891	-281,778

**TABLE 13.1**  
**COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE 2010–2015**

PLACE	NATURAL INCREASE	DOMESTIC MIGRATION	INTERNATIONAL MIGRATION	NET CHANGE IN POPULATION*	2015 POPULATION
<b>Alabama</b>	47,896	2,005	27,276	78,852	4,858,979
<b>Arkansas</b>	44,508	249	17,755	62,246	2,978,204
<b>Delaware</b>	15,969	18,038	13,715	47,998	945,934
<b>Wash., D.C.</b>	23,683	25,596	20,837	70,461	672,228
<b>Florida</b>	179,728	650,660	610,495	1,466,649	20,271,272
<b>Georgia</b>	299,340	82,493	134,971	526,179	10,214,860
<b>Kentucky</b>	64,922	-12,292	34,455	85,743	4,425,092
<b>Louisiana</b>	107,922	-10,567	39,473	137,245	4,670,724
<b>Maryland</b>	143,658	-56,054	148,515	232,616	5,773,785
<b>Mississippi</b>	49,029	-38,499	12,952	24,230	2,992,333
<b>North Carolina</b>	198,111	180,189	116,875	507,110	10,042,802
<b>Oklahoma</b>	81,469	43,544	33,359	159,722	3,911,338
<b>South Carolina</b>	72,535	159,023	31,974	72,535	4,896,146
<b>Tennessee</b>	96,386	104,944	49,546	254,024	6,600,299
<b>Texas</b>	1,107,434	736,492	463,449	2,323,009	27,469,114
<b>Virginia</b>	214,607	-17,437	182,418	381,948	8,382,993
<b>West Virginia</b>	-5,588	-8,166	6,259	-8,883	1,844,128
<b>The Region</b>	2,741,639	1,860,218	1,944,324	6,619,894	121,182,847

\*As noted in previous “Components of Population Change” tables, the sums of the natural increases, domestic migration, and international migrations do not necessarily add up to the net changes in population. This is because the U.S. Census Bureau includes a “residual” in this number to account for “changes in the population that cannot be attributed to any specific demographic component.” I toyed with using the “true” net change in population numbers according to calculating the aforementioned sums but decided that for the sake of consistency I should utilize the “official” numbers despite the odd variance and potential confusion that might ensue should a reader count my sums.



**TABLE 13.2**  
**RATES OF POPULATION CHANGE 2010–2015**

PLACE	2010 POPULATION	2015 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Wash., D.C.</b>	601,767	672,228	70,461	11.7%
<b>Florida</b>	18,804,623	20,271,272	1,466,649	7.7%
<b>Georgia</b>	9,688,681	10,214,860	526,179	5.4%
<b>Delaware</b>	897,936	945,934	47,998	5.3%
<b>North Carolina</b>	9,535,692	10,042,802	507,110	5.3%
<b>Virginia</b>	8,001,045	8,382,993	381,948	4.8%
<b>Texas</b>	25,146,105	27,469,114	2,323,009	4.4%
<b>Oklahoma</b>	3,751,616	3,911,338	159,722	4.2%
<b>Maryland</b>	5,773,785	6,006,401	232,616	4.0%
<b>Tennessee</b>	6,346,275	6,600,299	254,024	4.0%
<b>Louisiana</b>	4,533,749	4,670,724	137,245	3.0%
<b>Arkansas</b>	2,915,958	2,978,204	62,246	2.1%
<b>Kentucky</b>	4,339,349	4,425,092	85,743	2.0%
<b>Alabama</b>	4,780,127	4,858,979	78,852	1.6%
<b>South Carolina</b>	4,625,401	4,896,146	72,535	1.6%
<b>Mississippi</b>	2,968,103	2,992,333	24,230	0.8%
<b>West Virginia</b>	1,853,011	1,844,128	-8,883	-0.45%
<b>The Region</b>	114,562,953	121,182,847	6,619,894	5.8%

**TABLE 13.3**  
**RATES OF POPULATION CHANGE 1990-2015**

PLACE	1990 POPULATION	2015 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Texas</b>	16,986,510	27,469,114	10,482,604	61.7%
<b>Georgia</b>	6,478,216	10,214,860	3,736,644	57.7%
<b>Florida</b>	12,937,926	20,271,272	7,333,346	56.7%
<b>North Carolina</b>	6,628,637	10,042,802	3,414,165	51.5%
<b>Delaware</b>	666,168	945,934	279,766	41.9%
<b>South Carolina</b>	3,486,703	4,896,146	1,409,443	40.4%
<b>Virginia</b>	6,187,358	8,382,993	2,195,635	35.5%
<b>Tennessee</b>	4,877,185	6,600,299	1,723,114	35.3%
<b>Arkansas</b>	2,350,725	2,978,204	627,479	26.6%

PLACE	1990 POPULATION	2015 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Maryland</b>	4,781,468	6,006,401	1,224,933	25.6%
<b>Oklahoma</b>	3,145,585	3,911,338	765,753	24.3%
<b>Alabama</b>	4,040,587	4,858,979	818,392	20.2%
<b>Kentucky</b>	3,685,296	4,425,092	739,796	20.0%
<b>Mississippi</b>	2,573,216	2,992,333	419,117	16.3%
<b>Wash., D.C.</b>	606,900	672,228	65,328	10.7%
<b>Louisiana</b>	4,219,973	4,670,724	450,751	10.7%
<b>West Virginia</b>	1,793,477	1,844,128	50,651	2.8%
<b>The Region</b>	85,445,930	121,182,847	35,736,317	41.8%

TABLE 13.4

## RACIAL AND ETHNIC POPULATION CHANGE IN THE SOUTH 2000–2014

RACE OR ETHNICITY	2000 POPULATION	2014 POPULATION	NUMERICAL CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>White</b>	72,819,399	85,456,554	12,637,155	17.3%
<b>Non-Hispanic White</b>	65,927,794	69,695,515	3,767,721	5.7%

RACE OR ETHNICITY	2000 POPULATION	2014 POPULATION	NUMERICAL CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Black</b>	18,981,692	23,200,966	4,219,274	22.2%
<b>Hispanic</b>	11,586,696	20,280,582	8,693,886	75.0%
<b>Asian</b>	2,267,094	3,758,909	1,491,815	65.8%
<b>All</b>	100,236,820	119,771,934	19,535,114	19.5%

TABLE 13.5

## RACIAL AND ETHNIC COMPOSITION OF U.S. POPULATION 2014

PLACE	2014 POPULATION	PERCENTAGE WHITE	PERCENTAGE HISPANIC	PERCENTAGE BLACK	PERCENTAGE ASIAN
<b>Northeast</b>	56,152,333	73.3%	13.8%	11.9%	6.2%
<b>South</b>	119,771,934	71.3%	16.9%	19.4%	3.1%
<b>Midwest</b>	67,745,108	84.2%	7.5%	10.4%	3.0%
<b>West</b>	75,187,681	69.5%	29.5%	4.6%	9.9%
<b>United States</b>	318,857,056	73.4%	17.3%	12.7%	5.2%

**TABLE 13.6**  
**PROJECTED POPULATION CHANGE 2000 TO 2030—SOUTH**

PLACE	2000 POPULATION	PROJECTED 2030 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Florida</b>	15,982,378	28,685,769	12,703,391	79.5%
<b>Texas</b>	20,851,820	33,317,744	12,465,924	59.8%
<b>North Carolina</b>	8,049,313	12,227,739	4,178,426	51.9%
<b>Georgia</b>	8,186,453	12,017,838	3,831,385	46.8%
<b>Virginia</b>	7,078,515	9,825,019	2,746,504	38.8%
<b>Maryland</b>	5,296,486	7,022,251	1,725,765	32.6%
<b>Tennessee</b>	5,689,283	7,380,634	1,691,351	29.7%
<b>Delaware</b>	783,600	1,012,658	229,058	29.2%

PLACE	2000 POPULATION	PROJECTED 2030 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>South Carolina</b>	4,012,012	5,148,569	1,136,557	28.3%
<b>Arkansas</b>	2,673,400	3,240,208	566,808	21.2%
<b>Oklahoma</b>	3,450,654	3,913,251	462,597	13.4%
<b>Kentucky</b>	4,041,769	4,554,998	513,229	12.7%
<b>Alabama</b>	4,447,100	4,874,243	427,143	9.6%
<b>Mississippi</b>	2,844,658	3,092,410	247,752	8.7%
<b>Louisiana</b>	4,468,976	4,802,633	333,657	7.5%
<b>West Virginia</b>	1,808,344	1,719,959	-88,385	-4.9%
<b>Wash., D.C.</b>	572,059	433,414	-138,645	-24.2%
<b>The Region</b>	100,236,820	143,269,337	43,032,517	42.9%

TABLE 13.7

## PROJECTED VERSUS ACTUAL POPULATION CHANGE 2000 TO 2015

PLACE	2000 POPULATION	PROJECTED 2015 POPULATION	ACTUAL 2015 POPULATION	NUMERICAL DIFFERENCE
<b>Alabama</b>	4,447,100	4,663,111	4,858,979	195,868
<b>Arkansas</b>	2,673,400	2,968,913	2,978,204	9,291
<b>Delaware</b>	783,600	927,400	945,934	18,534
<b>Florida</b>	15,982,378	21,204,132	20,271,272	-932,860
<b>Georgia</b>	8,186,453	10,230,578	10,214,860	-15,718
<b>Kentucky</b>	4,041,769	4,351,188	4,425,092	73,904
<b>Louisiana</b>	4,468,976	4,673,721	4,670,724	-2,997
<b>Maryland</b>	5,296,486	6,208,392	6,006,401	-201,991
<b>Mississippi</b>	2,844,658	3,014,409	2,992,333	-22,076
<b>North Carolina</b>	8,049,313	10,010,770	10,042,802	32,032
<b>Oklahoma</b>	3,450,654	3,661,694	3,911,338	249,644
<b>South Carolina</b>	4,012,012	4,642,137	4,896,146	254,009
<b>Tennessee</b>	5,689,283	6,502,017	6,600,299	98,282
<b>Texas</b>	20,851,820	26,585,801	27,469,114	883,313
<b>Virginia</b>	7,078,515	8,466,864	8,382,993	-83,871
<b>Wash., D.C.</b>	572,059	506,323	672,228	165,905
<b>West Virginia</b>	1,808,344	1,822,758	1,844,128	21,370
<b>The Region</b>	100,236,820	120,440,208	121,182,847	742,639

TABLE 14.1

## RATES OF POPULATION CHANGE 2010–2015

PLACE	2010 POPULATION	2015 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>North Dakota</b>	672,591	756,927	84,336	12.5%
<b>South Dakota</b>	814,191	858,469	44,278	5.4%
<b>Nebraska</b>	1,826,341	1,896,190	69,849	3.8%
<b>Minnesota</b>	5,303,925	5,489,594	185,669	3.5%
<b>Iowa</b>	3,046,869	3,123,899	77,030	2.5%
<b>Indiana</b>	6,484,229	6,619,680	135,451	2.1%
<b>Kansas</b>	2,853,132	2,911,641	58,509	2.1%
<b>Missouri</b>	5,988,927	6,083,672	94,745	1.6%
<b>Wisconsin</b>	5,687,289	5,771,337	84,048	1.5%
<b>Ohio</b>	11,536,725	11,613,423	76,698	0.7%
<b>Michigan</b>	9,884,129	9,922,576	38,447	0.4%
<b>Illinois</b>	12,831,549	12,859,995	28,446	0.2%
<b>The Region</b>	66,929,897	67,907,403	977,506	1.5%

**TABLE 14.2**  
**RATES OF POPULATION CHANGE 1990–2015**

<b>PLACE</b>	<b>1990 POPULATION</b>	<b>2015 POPULATION</b>	<b>NUMERIC CHANGE</b>	<b>PERCENTAGE CHANGE</b>
<b>Minnesota</b>	4,375,099	5,489,594	1,114,495	25.5%
<b>South Dakota</b>	696,004	858,469	162,465	23.3%
<b>Nebraska</b>	1,578,385	1,896,190	317,805	20.1%
<b>Indiana</b>	5,544,159	6,619,680	1,075,521	19.4%
<b>Missouri</b>	5,117,073	6,083,672	966,599	18.9%
<b>North Dakota</b>	638,800	756,927	118,127	18.5%
<b>Wisconsin</b>	4,891,769	5,771,337	879,568	17.9%
<b>Kansas</b>	2,477,574	2,911,641	434,067	17.5%
<b>Illinois</b>	11,430,602	12,859,995	1,429,393	12.5%
<b>Iowa</b>	2,776,755	3,123,899	347,144	12.5%
<b>Ohio</b>	10,847,115	11,613,423	766,308	7.1%
<b>Michigan</b>	9,295,297	9,922,576	627,279	6.7%
<b>The Region</b>	59,668,632	67,907,403	8,238,771	13.8%



**TABLE 14.3**  
**COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE 2010–2015**

PLACE	NATURAL INCREASE	DOMESTIC MIGRATION	INTERNATIONAL MIGRATION	NET CHANGE IN POPULATION*	2015 POPULATION
<b>Illinois</b>	295,920	-425,954	170,366	28,446	12,859,995
<b>Indiana</b>	129,089	-47,311	57,474	135,451	6,619,680
<b>Iowa</b>	56,019	-7,170	29,280	77,030	3,123,899
<b>Kansas</b>	77,085	-52,597	33,848	58,509	2,911,641
<b>Michigan</b>	122,133	-191,130	111,091	38,447	9,922,576
<b>Minnesota</b>	152,035	-36,723	72,374	185,669	5,489,594
<b>Missouri</b>	101,770	-51,818	47,373	97,745	6,083,672
<b>Nebraska</b>	56,402	-7,731	21,893	69,849	1,896,190
<b>North Dakota</b>	22,285	53,048	8,057	84,336	756,927
<b>Ohio</b>	142,046	-152,296	95,444	76,698	11,613,423
<b>South Dakota</b>	25,761	10,267	7,924	44,278	858,469
<b>Wisconsin</b>	98,689	-52,211	38,001	84,048	5,771,337
<b>The Region</b>	1,279,234	-962,626	693,125	977,506	67,907,403

\*As noted in previous “Components of Population Change” tables, the sums of the natural increases, domestic migration, and international migrations do not necessarily add up to the net changes in population. This is because the U.S. Census Bureau includes a “residual” in this number to account for “changes in the population that cannot be attributed to any specific demographic component.” I toyed with using the “true” net change in population numbers according to calculating the aforementioned sums but decided that for the sake of consistency I should utilize the “official” numbers despite the odd variance and potential confusion that might ensue should a reader count the sums.

TABLE 14.4

## THEORETICAL POPULATION CHANGE ABSENT INTERNATIONAL MIGRATION 2010–2015

PLACE	NATURAL INCREASE	DOMESTIC MIGRATION	THEORETICAL NET CHANGE IN POPULATION
<b>Minnesota</b>	152,035	-36,723	115,312
<b>Indiana</b>	129,089	-47,311	81,778
<b>North Dakota</b>	22,285	53,048	75,333
<b>Missouri</b>	101,770	-51,818	49,952
<b>Iowa</b>	56,019	-7,170	48,849
<b>Nebraska</b>	56,402	-7,731	48,671
<b>Wisconsin</b>	98,689	-52,211	46,478
<b>South Dakota</b>	25,761	10,267	36,028
<b>Kansas</b>	77,085	-52,597	24,488
<b>Ohio</b>	142,046	-152,296	-10,250
<b>Michigan</b>	122,133	-191,130	-68,997
<b>Illinois</b>	295,920	-425,954	-130,034
<b>The Region</b>	1,279,234	-962,626	316,608

**TABLE 14.5**  
**RACIAL AND ETHNIC COMPOSITION OF U.S. POPULATION 2014**

PLACE	2014 POPULATION	PERCENTAGE WHITE	PERCENTAGE HISPANIC	PERCENTAGE BLACK	PERCENTAGE ASIAN
<b>Northeast</b>	56,152,333	73.3%	13.8%	11.9%	6.2%
<b>South</b>	119,771,934	71.3%	16.9%	19.4%	3.1%
<b>Midwest</b>	67,745,108	84.2%	7.5%	10.4%	3.0%
<b>West</b>	75,187,681	69.5%	29.5%	4.6%	9.9%
<b>United States</b>	318,857,056	73.4%	17.3%	12.7%	5.2%

**TABLE 14.6**  
**RACIAL AND ETHNIC POPULATION CHANGE 2000–2014—MIDWEST**

RACE OR ETHNICITY	2000 POPULATION	2014 POPULATION	NUMERICAL CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>White</b>	53,833,651	55,084,212	1,250,561	2.3%
<b>Non-Hispanic White</b>	52,386,131	51,895,649	-490,482	-0.9%
<b>Black</b>	6,838,669	7,021,172	182,503	2.7%
<b>Hispanic</b>	3,124,532	5,071,160	1,946,628	62.3%
<b>Asian</b>	1,197,554	2,012,054	814,500	68.0%
<b>All</b>	64,392,776	67,745,108	3,352,332	5.2%

**TABLE 14.7**

**PROJECTED POPULATION CHANGE 2000 TO 2030—MIDWEST**

PLACE	2000 POPULATION	PROJECTED 2030 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
Minnesota	4,919,479	6,306,130	1,386,651	28.2%
Missouri	5,595,211	6,430,173	834,962	14.9%
Wisconsin	5,363,675	6,150,764	787,089	14.7%
Indiana	6,080,485	6,810,108	729,623	12.0%
Kansas	2,688,418	2,940,084	251,666	9.4%
Illinois	12,419,293	13,432,892	1,013,599	8.2%
Michigan	9,938,444	10,694,172	755,728	7.6%
Nebraska	1,711,263	1,820,247	108,984	6.4%
South Dakota	754,844	800,462	45,618	6.0%
Ohio	11,353,140	11,550,528	197,388	1.7%
Iowa	2,926,324	2,955,172	28,848	1.0%
North Dakota	642,200	606,566	-35,634	-5.5%
The Region	64,392,776	70,497,298	6,104,522	9.5%

**TABLE 14.8****PROJECTED VERSUS ACTUAL POPULATION CHANGE 2000 TO 2015**

PLACE	2000 POPULATION	PROJECTED 2015 POPULATION	ACTUAL 2015 POPULATION	NUMERICAL DIFFERENCE
Illinois	12,419,293	13,097,218	12,859,995	-237,223
Indiana	6,080,485	6,517,631	6,619,680	102,049
Iowa	2,926,324	3,026,380	3,123,899	97,519
Kansas	2,688,418	2,852,690	2,911,641	58,951
Michigan	9,938,444	10,599,122	9,922,576	-676,546
Minnesota	4,919,479	5,668,211	5,489,594	-178,617
Missouri	5,595,211	6,069,556	6,083,672	14,116
Nebraska	1,711,263	1,788,508	1,896,190	107,682
North Dakota	642,200	635,133	756,927	121,794
Ohio	11,353,140	11,635,446	11,613,423	-22,023
South Dakota	754,844	796,954	858,469	61,515
Wisconsin	5,363,675	5,882,760	5,771,337	-111,423
The Region	64,392,776	68,569,609	67,907,403	-662,206

**TABLE 15.1**  
**RATES OF POPULATION CHANGE 2010–2015**

PLACE	2010 POPULATION	2015 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Colorado</b>	5,092,324	5,456,574	427,250	8.5%
<b>Utah</b>	2,763,888	2,995,919	232,031	8.4%
<b>Nevada</b>	2,700,691	2,890,845	190,154	7.0%
<b>Arizona</b>	6,392,307	6,828,065	435,758	6.8%

PLACE	2010 POPULATION	2015 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Washington</b>	6,724,543	7,170,351	445,808	6.6%
<b>Idaho</b>	1,567,652	1,654,930	87,278	5.6%
<b>Hawaii</b>	1,360,301	1,431,603	71,302	5.2%
<b>Oregon</b>	3,831,073	4,028,977	197,904	5.2%
<b>California</b>	37,254,503	39,144,818	1,890,315	5.1%
<b>Montana</b>	989,417	1,032,949	43,532	4.4%
<b>Alaska</b>	710,249	738,432	28,183	4.0%
<b>Wyoming</b>	563,767	586,107	22,340	4.0%
<b>New Mexico</b>	2,059,192	2,085,109	25,917	1.3%
<b>The Region</b>	71,946,907	76,044,679	4,097,772	5.7%

**TABLE 15.2**  
**COMPONENTS OF POPULATION CHANGE 2010–2015**

PLACE	NATURAL INCREASE	DOMESTIC MIGRATION	INTERNATIONAL MIGRATION	NET CHANGE IN POPULATION*	2015 POPULATION
<b>Alaska</b>	38,763	-22,265	12,072	28,183	738,432
<b>Arizona</b>	188,278	160,346	77,464	435,758	6,828,065
<b>California</b>	1,332,394	-266,115	834,999	1,890,315	39,144,818
<b>Colorado</b>	169,535	192,337	59,257	427,250	5,456,574
<b>Hawaii</b>	43,560	-18,423	46,728	71,302	1,431,603
<b>Idaho</b>	56,713	19,788	9,689	87,278	1,654,930
<b>Montana</b>	16,226	22,811	3,692	43,532	1,032,949
<b>Nevada</b>	74,734	69,231	41,949	190,154	2,890,845
<b>New Mexico</b>	53,203	-43,041	15,296	25,917	2,085,109
<b>Oregon</b>	81,469	43,544	33,359	197,904	4,028,977
<b>Utah</b>	188,559	14,576	29,344	232,009	2,995,919
<b>Washington</b>	191,006	124,326	127,116	445,808	7,170,351
<b>Wyoming</b>	16,110	3,129	2,932	22,340	586,107
<b>The Region</b>	2,433,677	350,108	1,297,501	4,097,772	76,044,679

\*As noted on previous “Components of Population Change” tables, If you take the time to calculate the numbers in the table you will notice that the sums of the natural increases, domestic migration, and international migrations do not necessarily add up to the net changes in population. This is because the U.S. Census Bureau includes a “residual” in this number to account for “changes in the population that cannot be attributed to any specific demographic component.” I toyed with using the “true” net change in population numbers according to calculating the aforementioned sums but decided that for the sake of consistency I should utilize the “official” numbers despite the odd variance and potential confusion.

**TABLE 15.3**  
**RATES OF POPULATION CHANGE 1990–2015**

PLACE	1990 POPULATION	2015 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Nevada</b>	1,201,833	2,890,845	1,689,012	140.5%
<b>Arizona</b>	3,665,228	6,828,065	3,162,837	86.3%
<b>Utah</b>	1,722,850	2,995,919	1,273,069	73.9%
<b>Colorado</b>	3,294,394	5,456,574	2,162,180	65.6%
<b>Idaho</b>	1,006,749	1,654,930	648,181	64.4%
<b>Washington</b>	4,866,692	7,170,351	2,303,659	47.3%
<b>Oregon</b>	2,842,321	4,028,977	1,186,656	41.7%
<b>New Mexico</b>	1,515,069	2,085,109	570,040	37.6%
<b>Alaska</b>	550,043	738,432	188,389	34.2%
<b>California</b>	29,760,021	39,144,818	9,384,797	31.5%
<b>Montana</b>	799,065	1,032,949	233,884	29.3%
<b>Hawaii</b>	1,108,229	1,431,603	323,374	29.2%
<b>Wyoming</b>	453,588	586,107	132,519	29.2%
<b>The Region</b>	52,786,082	76,044,679	23,258,597	44.1%



TABLE 15.4

## RACIAL AND ETHNIC POPULATION CHANGE IN THE WEST 2010–2014

RACE OR ETHNICITY	2000 POPULATION	2014 POPULATION	NUMERICAL CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>White</b>	43,274,074	52,264,401	8,990,327	20.8%
<b>Non-Hispanic White</b>	36,911,587	38,433,335	1,521,748	4.1%
<b>Black</b>	3,076,884	3,474,819	397,935	12.9%
<b>Hispanic</b>	15,340,503	22,198,353	6,857,850	44.7%
<b>Asian</b>	5,003,611	7,417,453	2,413,842	48.2%
<b>All</b>	63,197,932	75,187,681	11,989,749	19.0%

**TABLE 15.5**  
**RACIAL AND ETHNIC COMPOSITION OF U.S. POPULATION 2014**

PLACE	2014 POPULATION	PERCENTAGE WHITE	PERCENTAGE HISPANIC	PERCENTAGE BLACK	PERCENTAGE ASIAN
<b>Northeast</b>	56,152,333	73.3%	13.8%	11.9%	6.2%
<b>South</b>	119,771,934	71.3%	16.9%	19.4%	3.1%
<b>Midwest</b>	67,745,108	84.2%	7.5%	10.4%	3.0%
<b>West</b>	75,187,681	69.5%	29.5%	4.6%	9.9%
<b>United States</b>	318,857,056	73.4%	17.3%	12.7%	5.2%

**TABLE 15.6**  
**PROJECTED POPULATION CHANGE 2000 TO 2030—WEST**

PLACE	2000 POPULATION	PROJECTED 2030 POPULATION	NUMERIC CHANGE	PERCENTAGE CHANGE
<b>Nevada</b>	1,998,257	4,282,102	2,283,845	114.3%
<b>Arizona</b>	5,130,632	10,712,397	5,581,765	108.8%
<b>Utah</b>	2,233,169	3,485,367	1,252,198	56.1%
<b>Idaho</b>	1,293,953	1,969,624	675,671	52.2%
<b>Washington</b>	5,894,121	8,624,801	2,730,680	46.3%
<b>Oregon</b>	3,421,399	4,833,918	1,412,519	41.3%
<b>Alaska</b>	626,932	867,674	240,742	38.4%
<b>California</b>	33,871,648	46,444,861	12,573,213	37.1%
<b>Colorado</b>	4,301,261	5,792,357	1,491,096	34.7%
<b>Hawaii</b>	1,211,537	1,466,046	254,509	21.0%
<b>Montana</b>	902,195	1,044,898	142,703	15.8%
<b>New Mexico</b>	1,819,046	2,099,708	280,662	15.4%
<b>Wyoming</b>	493,782	522,979	29,197	5.9%
<b>The Region</b>	63,197,932	92,146,732	28,948,800	45.8%

**TABLE 15.7**  
**PROJECTED VERSUS ACTUAL POPULATION CHANGE 2000 TO 2015**

PLACE	2000 POPULATION	PROJECTED 2015 POPULATION	ACTUAL 2015 POPULATION	NUMERICAL DIFFERENCE
Alaska	626,932	732,544	738,432	5,888
Arizona	5,130,632	7,495,238	6,828,065	-667,173
California	33,871,648	40,123,232	39,144,818	-978,414
Colorado	4,301,261	5,049,493	5,456,574	407,081
Hawaii	1,211,537	1,385,952	1,431,603	45,651
Idaho	1,293,953	1,630,045	1,654,930	24,885
Montana	902,195	999,489	1,032,949	33,460
Nevada	1,998,257	3,058,190	2,890,845	-167,345
New Mexico	1,819,046	2,041,539	2,085,109	43,570
Oregon	3,421,399	4,012,924	4,028,977	16,053
Utah	2,233,169	2,783,040	2,995,919	212,879
Washington	5,894,121	6,950,610	7,170,351	219,741
Wyoming	493,782	528,005	586,107	58,102
The Region	63,197,932	76,790,301	76,044,679	-745,622

TABLE 17.1

## SELECT POPULATIONS BY AGE—2000 VERSUS 2010 AND 2014

CENSUS YEAR	AGE 65 AND OLDER	AGE 65 TO 74	AGE 75 TO 84	AGE 85 AND OLDER
<b>2000</b>	34,991,753	18,390,986	12,361,180	4,239,587
<b>2010</b>	40,267,984	21,713,429	13,061,122	5,493,433
<b>Difference</b>	<b>5,276,231</b>	<b>3,322,443</b>	<b>699,942</b>	<b>1,253,846</b>
<b>2014</b>	46,243,211	N/A	N/A	6,162,231
<b>Difference</b>	<b>11,251,458</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>1,922,644</b>

**TABLE 17.2**  
**SELECT POPULATIONS BY AGE—2000 VERSUS 2010 AND 2014**

<b>CENSUS YEAR</b>	<b>AGE 15 TO 24</b>
<b>2000</b>	39,183,891
<b>2010</b>	43,628,964
<b>Difference</b>	<b>4,445,073</b>
<b>2014</b>	43,979,821
<b>Difference</b>	<b>4,795,930</b>